

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

WWW.USDLG 2007

·				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/370,121	08/06/1999	MATTHEW P. CASEBOLT	M-7792-US	7633
24251 75	590 01/31/2002			
SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP			EXAMINER	
25 METRO DE SUITE 700	ave	;	CHANG, Y	EAN HSI
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95110		[
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	12
	•		DATE MAILED: 01/31/2002	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
1	•	09/370,121	
/	Office Action Summary		CASEBOLT ET AL.
, ·	•	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Yean-Hsi Chang	2835
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any rearns	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a) Communication of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication
Status	_		*
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	llowance except for formal mainder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	iters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
	Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-39 is/are pending in		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21-32</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 33-39 is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
	on Papers		
9) 🗌 T	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	·
10)∏ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•
13) [A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)_	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Ap	plication No
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the page application from the International set the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for all the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Office action for a less than the attached detailed Detail	Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2/a))	-
	knowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has bee	en received
tachment(s	s)	some priority under 30 U.S.C. §	3 120 and/01 121.
Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trade D-326 (Rev.	04.04)	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 12

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (US 5,757,618).

Lee teaches a clip comprising:

- a clip body (30, fig. 3) (claim 33) with a bottom portion abutted on a shoulder (not numbered, fig. 1) of a computer case (claim 35)
- a stabilized projection (30b, fig. 3) attached to a top portion of the clip body,
 extending to an exterior of a computer case (12, fig. 1) (claim 33) having first
 and second slots (claims 36-37)
- a first and a second flanges (30a, fig. 5) attached to sides of the clip body
 (claims 33-34)
- a mounting bracket (26, fig. 5) being provided for each of extension cards (claims 38-39)

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-18 and 21-32 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2835

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The best prior art of record, Smithson et al. (US 5,654,873), Hileman et al. (US 5,751,551), Bolognia (US 6,084,768), and Young et al. (US 6,018,456), taken alone or in combination fails to teach or fairly suggest a computer system comprising a hard drive mounting structure comprising a hard drive assembly comprising: a hard drive; a chassis including a retaining portion comprising a top plate and a bottom plate; and a handle rotatably connected to the retaining portion between the top plate and the bottom plate, and being rotatable about an axis perpendicular to the top and bottom plates as claimed in claims 1 and 25. Claims 2-18 and 21-24 are dependent claims from claim 1, and claims 26-32 are dependent claims from claim 25.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 15 November 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues "member 30 illustrated in Fig. 3 of Lee is not a clip" and "member 30 is inserted inside a computer case and no portion thereof extend to the exterior of the computer case." However, fig. 3 of Lee shows a clip member 30 with its side plates 30a to be inserted into slot 16a shown in fig. 4, as stated in col. 4, lines 26-28; and a receptacle aperture 16b on the side wall 12 of the computer body 10, as shown in fig. 4, is for insertion of the protrusion 30b as stated in col. 4, lines 28-30.

Art Unit: 2835

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (703) 306-5798. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (703) 308-4815. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2835

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-8558.

Yean-Hsi Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2835 January 29, 2002

> DARREN SCHUBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER